SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
VAN NUYS COURTHOUSE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Plaintiff,

v.

KEVIN PERELMAN,
Defendant.

Case No.: LA099813

DEFENDANT'S SUBMISSION OF MITIGATION LETTER AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS FOR RESENTENCING

Date: [To Be Set]
Time: [To Be Set]
Dept.: [To Be Assigned]
Judge: [To Be Assigned]

Defendant Kevin Perelman respectfully submits the attached mitigation letter and supporting exhibits for the Court's consideration in connection with resentencing.

The signed mitigation letter is submitted as Exhibit A. The letter is signed by Anita Perelman, Dr. Ronald Perelman, and Arnold Silber, and addresses Defendant's background, family support, the effect of unsupported labels, and the request that Defendant be viewed as a whole person rather than through disputed accusations or characterizations.

Supporting documents are submitted as Exhibit B. These materials relate to restitution communications and other matters referenced in the mitigation letter.

Defendant respectfully requests that the Court consider these materials as mitigation and as part of the resentencing record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

Kevin Perelman
Defendant In Pro Per



To Whom It May Concern,

My name is Anita Perelman. I am Kevin Perelman's mother. I am providing this letter with the agreement and support of Kevin's father, Dr. Ronald Perelman, and my husband, Arnold Silber, who is Kevin's stepfather.

This letter is offered because our family has been placed under great emotional strain by the continuing conflict surrounding my son. I understand that the Court has legal issues before it, and this letter is not intended to re-argue every detail of the case. It is offered as a family statement because the way Kevin has been labeled, portrayed, and pressured has caused serious hardship to Kevin and to our family.

Kevin is my son. We know him as an intelligent, creative, technically skilled person who went to the University of Colorado and who has worked in professional creative and technical environments, including Universal Studios, Modern Video Film, other small animation firms, and computer/networking-related work. Kevin has spent much of his adult life trying to work, create, build, and live independently under difficult and unusual circumstances, including repeated outside conflict, personal labeling, and pressure from others.

Kevin did not grow up with these labels. As a child, student, and young adult, we knew him as extremely innovative, driven, passionate, social, and focused on building his life. He had friends, went to college, pursued creative and technical work, and tried to build friendships, professional relationships, projects, and companies. The descriptions and assumptions now being used against Kevin appear to us to have become a kind of informal profiling rather than a fair picture of who he is. They do not match the person we raised or the person we have known throughout his life. Those labels did not come from anyone with clinical training or a treating relationship with Kevin. From our perspective as his family, they seemed to appear suddenly after conflict with people in Kevin's former social circle, and then became repeated by others as if they were fact.

Kevin has never had a formal diagnosis that supports the labels being used against him in the community. What we observed was that Kevin's life changed as an adult after a serious personal and professional confrontation involving a former business partner and friend Kevin had known since a young age, along with others connected to that broader social circle. After that period, labels that began socially seemed to follow Kevin into other areas of his life, affecting how he was viewed and treated by people outside that original circle. Kevin became more guarded and more selective about who he trusted. We do not believe a person should be stigmatized simply because he chooses to distance himself from people or environments he no longer believes are healthy. When unsupported labels are repeated

across social circles, they can begin to replace the actual person with a reputation that is unfair, incomplete, and difficult to escape.

As Kevin's family, and with my background in psychology, we are especially aware of how harmful unsupported labels can become when repeated by people who are not treating doctors and are not qualified to make medical judgments. We respectfully ask that Kevin be judged by the actual facts before the Court, not by informal labels, assumptions, or fear-based descriptions. Unsupported words and labels such as "crazy," "unstable," "mentally ill," "paranoid," "schizophrenic," "criminal," or "dangerous" should not be used to define Kevin's entire character or identity.

We are also concerned when these kinds of labels are repeated by private individuals, neighbors, or others in positions of authority without a proper medical basis. Once such language is used, it can unfairly influence how Kevin is viewed by neighbors, law enforcement, and the court system. It also makes conflict harder to resolve because the focus shifts away from actual facts and toward stigma.

We were deeply distressed that Terrance Scroggin drove out and personally delivered a letter to Kevin's father's medical office, while describing Kevin in mental-health and criminal terms and asking our family to pay money. Bringing this dispute into a parent's workplace, using formal personal details, speculating about Kevin's medication, and making a money request while labeling Kevin in this way felt intrusive and inappropriate.

The letter also made statements, judgments, and labels about Kevin that appeared to us to be unsupported rather than verified facts. We have seen documentation showing that Kevin made payment through the court-related payment process. Restitution is handled through proper legal channels, not through private pressure on family members.

We also understand that, based on the information available from the preliminary hearings, a USAA insurance claim appears to have covered or been involved with the same claimed damage, in addition to court-related restitution. For that reason, Mr. Scroggin's private request to Kevin's family for another full payment felt improper, confusing, and especially burdensome.

We are also troubled by the repeated notes and communications directed at Kevin over time. To us, this pattern looked less like a normal legal process and more like continued personal escalation, financial pressure, and conduct that appeared intended to keep portraying Kevin in the worst possible light. We do not believe statements, judgments, or labels made in that context are credible, impartial, or reliable.

We are also concerned that the repeated notes and communications directed at Kevin have created continuing stress and frustration that interfere with his daily life. It is painful to

see our son trying to avoid further conflict while still being confronted by messages, labels, and pressure that make the situation harder to leave behind.

We do not believe private individuals should use unsupported mental-health labels, criminal accusations, family pressure, misleading financial claims, or a court matter as a way to pursue money, create pressure, or influence how Kevin is viewed. A conviction or accusation should not be used as a weapon to define a person's entire character or to pressure his family for money.

We are asking that Kevin be viewed as a whole person and not reduced to unsupported labels, assumptions, or stereotypes. He has education, work history, family support, creative ability, technical skills, artistic ability, and a long record of trying to live independently.

Since this period of conflict began, we have felt Kevin become more distant from us than he once was. As his family, that has been one of the most painful parts of watching this unfold.

It has been heartbreaking to watch how years of conflict, labeling, and outside pressure have narrowed Kevin's opportunities and made it harder for him to move forward. We hope the Court will consider the human cost of continuing to define him through accusations and labels rather than through the full person we know him to be.

We respectfully ask the Court to consider Kevin's family support, education, work history, and desire to live peacefully. We hope any resolution will focus on stability, clear boundaries, de-escalation, and allowing all parties to move forward without further personal attacks, unsupported character labels, or private pressure directed at Kevin or his family in an effort to shape how he is viewed.

Respectfully,

_____ Date: _____

Anita Perelman
Mother of Kevin Perelman

I have reviewed this letter and agree with its contents.

_____ Date: _____

Dr. Ronald Perelman
Father of Kevin Perelman

_____ Date: _____

Arnold Silber
Stepfather of Kevin Perelman

12/6/2025

Drives all the way down to my fathers office
to give it to him

12/3/2025

RECEIVED
DEC 06 2025
BY:

Dear Dr. Ronald Barry Perelman,

My name is Terrance Scroggin and I live in the same Woodland Oaks Condo complex as your son Kevin. He lives in unit 18 and I live in unit 3. Both are in the 21620 building. Attached are two copies of Kevin's dealings with me. One is the sentence given by the judge and the other is my request for payment from Kevin. I put it on his truck/envoy windshield. Kevin then added his own comments. I put it on his truck because I have a court order to stay away by at least 100 yards and can't put it on his door. Do not get me wrong. The order was directed at Kevin and not me.

I am sorry to have to send this to your workplace but Kevin will never pay the bill of $5015 for damage to my car. Fortunately I had visual proof of his deed and him causing it. I know Kevin is a mental patient but doubt he takes any medication. I am a retiree 82 years old or the same age as you. The car he damaged is the last one I will ever own. He owns 3 vehicles all registered with Montana plates. He acts as if he were 4 or 5 years old with all the responsibility of that age I am appealing to you to pay the $5015. Kevin has no intention of ever doing it. I also do not like being called a child rapist. Would you?

Sincerely yours,

Terrance S. Scroggin
21620 Burbank Blvd unit 3
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

5/25/2025

Kevin

As a convicted felon, the court ordered you to pay me restitution of $5015 for damage to my car. You know the address. You know my name.

$5015.00

T. SCROGGIN


GMC

6/6/2025

Kevin

You owe me $5015.00 by court order. I paid all at once and expect a check for $5015.00. Send it to me at my address - you know it well.

T. Scurry
cent. 3



7/5/2025

6-5-2025

YOU OWE ME

$ 5015.00

Court ordered

T. Scroggs


anTarget.com
(The World Has Been Lied To)

7/12/2025

MY NAME IS KEVIN PERELMAN

I am a CONVICTED FELON

I AM COURT ORDERED

TO PAY T. SCROGGINS DAMAGES

to his CAR $ 5015


KevinPerelmanTarget.com

7/23/2025

I AM Kevin Perelman
A convicted Felon
Court Ordered to Pay
$5015.00 For Damages
To This CAR: Mazda Miata
4 cyl 931
I Keyed T. Scroggie's
CAR Hood, TRUNK, And
Fender to Fender Both
Sides = Total Repaint

7/31/2025

My NAME is
Kevin Perelman
CONVICTED Felon
Court ORDERED to
Pay T. SCROGGIN $5015.0
for damages to CAR
I AM defying The
COURT ordered Verdict


My NAme is
Kevin Friedman
connected Files
court ordered to
Ray T. Schroom's sons
I am defying The
court orders

9/12/2025

Kevin

Either you pay me the $5015 or I am taking you to small claims court and taking one of your cars. This is my final notice!

Your dad always bails you out. Let the IRS from him but get it, I think I fancy your Corvette!

T. Scoggins
unit 3

Kevin

Either you pay me the $5015 or I am taking you to small claims court and taking one of your cars. This is my final notice!

Your dad always bails you out. Get the $ from him but get it. I think I fancy your Corvette!

T. Scoggin
Unit 3

11/24/2025

Kevin

Pay $5015

till you caused

T. Sweeney

in court you promised to pay it and I have the records

Video Stills


7-31 13:12:16

7-31 13:12:06

-1525-C.mp4
12:18
-00:01:07

-1525-C.mp4
12:19
-00:01:06

2024-07-31 13:12:24