

## Charles Sean Dinse - Background and Psychological Evaluation - Based on the Perelman Families Worldwide Fabrications based derived from HATE

They named me before I could name myself.

They labeled me before anything was to happen.

Not with love. Not with curiosity.

With a verdict.

**Devil child. Bad seed. Future criminal. Crazy. Unknown Quantity, someone to be feared for no reason.**

A human being pre-condemned—not for actions, but for what a frightened, controlling family decided I *must* be.

And once that verdict was spoken, everything that followed became “justified.”

Because when a paranoid psychotic family with unlimited resources convinces itself, its child is evil, it doesn’t need proof.

It needs permission.

And they gave themselves permission with the Psychology Community, Government, Police, Community Groups, Illegal Monitoring, and a mass civilian policing, conspiracy taking part.

What can lack of some eyebrow hairs do to a family who hypocritically demands complete and utter PERFECTION.

---

### The origin: the PERELMAN FAMILY, psychology as a weapon, not a science

The Perlman family didn’t use psychology to understand me.

They used it to **authorize hatred with communities, authority figures and agencies.**

Degrees became badges.

Labels became weapons.

“Concern” became a cover story for control.

They didn’t say, I’m concerned or want to *“Help him.” In a way he could have a good life. They didn’t want to communicate with honest dialogues from the beginning, then and now.*

They said, *“He’s a monster, Contain him.” And not for any specific reason other than the possibility something could happen in the future.*

They didn’t want me supported.

They wanted me **managed**, owned as a slave, or in a concentration camp, watched, and eventually removed—socially, legally, existentially.

Once they decided their child is the devil, the next step is obvious:

They recruited the world to help them kill or eradicate without touching him.

Because how do you get away with this type of crime, with no investigation.

---

### **It began young: monitoring as a childhood environment**

This didn't start in adulthood.

It started around five years old—when I was too young to recognize manipulation and too young to defend myself.

Brother.

Schools.

Teachers.

Students.

Friends.

Administrators.

The same pressure patterns:

- provocation framed as “normal social life,”
- daily bait designed to trigger reactions,
- authority watching too closely, *not because of what I did, but because of what they were told I was.*
- Authority obsessively trying to collect and use anything on any level to twist and contort to use against me to create the illusion that I need to be removed from society.
- Authority told to fabricate and forge police reports non stop for 50 years with security companies, civilians, businesses, all across the United States wherever I go to manufacture the illusion of instability, public nuisance, out of control, to create a conspiring, fraudulently forged, paper trail that looks justified to eradicate at all costs.
- Authorities told with these mass stalking groups to ratchet down, to get the slightest reactions to build larger and larger stalking groups on the most minuet imperfections to try to make what they are doing look justified.

I didn't “develop issues.”

I developed **survival reflexes** inside a manufactured hostile environment.

---

**It's Global. It follows me everywhere since a very young age.**

Every state I live in.

Every stage of schooling: elementary, middle, high school, college.

Every workplace ecosystem.

Every public setting where a person should be able to simply exist.

Different faces. Same function.

It wasn't coincidence.

It was continuity.

A traveling script:

**provoke → interpret → record → distort → circulate → escalate.**

---

### **2001: when the mask slipped**

I was twenty-nine when someone finally said the quiet part out loud.

Friend since 14 years old, 1986, with Paul Humphrey, Michael Patrick Huntley, who lured me back from University of Colorado with the Family around 1997, who wanted to start a business, Signet-E Services—calm, confident, satisfied—delivered the kind of line that he is trying to mask as a non-threat, it's a policy memo:

*"We're using the judicial system against you."*

*"I've given you enough rope to hang yourself with"*

*"Have a good life now."*

*"You'd better live a careful life."*

*While they with LAPD were trying to get a Trash bag of LAPD confiscated Marijuana in my house with my gym manager Rodie Morales who was told to befriend me with Mike Huntley, and Ron Perelman working with LAPD, to frame me on nonstop 25 years of provocations reaching 2001, frame job, setup operations starting at a very young age.*

*And statements about smearing my name to the largest circles possible with my brother Jason Perelman, and family.*

That wasn't metaphor.

That wasn't bravado.

That was the moment I started finding out something was very wrong.

this wasn't social friction. This wasn't misunderstanding.

This was **institutional intent**.

---

### **The bridge: friendship as infiltration, policing as inheritance**

People enter my life under the guise of closeness—Paul Humphrey, Michael Patrick Huntley, who connected through my brother Jason Perelman, triangulated into my world early.

Then Paul Humphrey working with Michael Patrick Huntley moved into law enforcement, later into private security.

This is what Los Angeles Police Department is trying to cover up what they started around 1977, with the Perelman family and their mass mobs stalking me daily.

That matters because it shows the arc:

**access → influence → authority → enforcement-by-proxy.**

And once that bridge exists, it doesn't collapse.

It becomes infrastructure that grows exponentially with rotating death threats that I don't talk, or they kill or eradicate me in these unheard-of gas lighting police, psychology community, and government tactics.

However honesty from others will help to normalize the real problem and what started in 1977. And what these mass worldwide civilian vigilantes have been told to take part in. However they choose to hide in denial and their own guilt instead of doing what is expected and right. Trying to make it look like a tease, a game, or a joke to cover up the true pre-meditated motive.

---

### **The data machine: erasure through surveillance and distortion**

They aren't just stalking me with bodies.

They stalk me with **information**.

The Brian Longbotham Visual FX supervisor "Spy Game" Tactics. Guessing around 1999.

After asking me to help with visual FX for a Pilot at Universal Studios Called "Spy Game" around 2001, I noticed that these privacy violations are based on mass groups hinting about every aspect of my private life in Idea of Reference form daily. Example, what I type in a web browser will initiate neighbors verbally or visually hinting about it. Example if I type "Yellow Beetle" in google. Within hours or days, 4 yellow beetles might park on my street. This is done by worldwide groups all day and night violating privacy. If I have a short dialogue at a coffee shop to an employee, and drive an hour away to another coffee shop, the next barista will mimic or regurgitate fragments of the previous conversation. To the point where I will go from public place to place proving it and agitating the Police for exposing it. With Police Misconduct, Hate, and Retaliation for my survival and freedoms that everyone else has.

My phone is on and off treated like a tap with privacy violations.

My computer in the same illegal methods, with varying methods from simple to complex.

My private life like raw material, which is taken out of context and given out worldwide to try to create the appearance of justification.

And the purpose was never “safety.”

The purpose is to collect anything—that could be:

- twisted,
- excerpted,
- stripped of context,
- reframed as proof,
- and fed into a centralized narrative.

A permanent file.

A rolling dossier.

A propaganda pipeline.

They modeled themselves after and are probably working with intelligence agencies—not because they are official, but because they need to cover up a 49 year worldwide crime spree against a 5 year old:

**total visibility, zero accountability.**

Conversations aren’t conversations.

They are harvest events.

A sentence said in frustration becomes a confession.

A joke becomes a threat.

A boundary becomes “hostility.”

Silence becomes “guilt.”

And then it gets distributed outward—community to community—so I arrive everywhere already poisoned.

This is how you ensure a target can never have a normal dialogue:

You make sure nobody meets a person.

They only meet the file.

---

### **Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse: the badge-wearing executor of the file**

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse didn’t discover me.

He inherited me.

He operated off a story already planted—my family’s defamation, the long-fed myth of the “devil child,” the invented danger that never needed evidence because it had repetition.

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's role is not to enforce law.  
It is to **enforce the narrative**.

He shows up impersonating higher authority.

He shows up trying doors.

He shows up with groups of officers after proxy provocations fail.

He is working with security companies and police all across the United States to STALK, PROVOKE, Intimidate, entrap, Harass, to try to get reactions falsify and forge false police reports, to keep building his DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER File. Exposing his HATRED and GUILT.

He doesn't need crimes.

He needs compliance.

He treats procedure as costume—something you wear while you do what you want.

And when I refuse to perform the role assigned to me—when I don't break, don't lash out, don't commit the staged crimes—they don't back off.

They escalate with their mass 49-year paranoid schizophrenia mobs. With people all across the United States told, If you see Kevin Perelman, FORGE and FABRICATE some lies, and call the Police, he is a Violent Paranoid Schizophrenic. The police and LAPD are knowingly FORGING and FRAUDULANTLY creating a bunk paper trial of lies for 49 years and any attempt for me to file reports in my innocence is buried.

---

### **The ideology: cleansing the streets, cleansing the world**

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's hostility extends beyond me—anti-homeless obsession, removal fantasies, "clean streets" thinking.

Not compassion.

Not solutions.

Not empathy for the human race and survival.

Not trying to solve problems so everyone can have good lives.

Just elimination of what he is afraid of. And his self-reinforcing delusions. Like someone with lack of Eyebrows.

When you see people as blemishes, you stop caring whether you're lawful.

You care whether you're effective.

And that ideology maps perfectly onto how he treats me:

I am not a person to him.

I am a problem to be erased. Like the homeless. And Rex Schillenburg who Federally Sued him

and the City Of Los Angeles for the same crimes, and using his Facebook account to rile the masses against him, just like me.

---

**This did not “fail.” It metastasized.**

If I were erased quietly, the machine would feel complete.

But I stayed present.

I stayed disciplined.

I stayed alive.

I stayed articulate.

And this has angered them even more with their worldwide hate armies. And the Paid off Judicial Employees.

And that does not calm them—it enrages them.

Because my continued existence is a standing contradiction.

So the machine grows.

It doesn’t stop. It **multiplies**.

Every time I remain composed, they escalate pressure to force a crack.

Every time I prove the narrative wrong, they widen the circle so the narrative can survive anyway with newer and newer fabrications.

This is not a campaign that ends.

This is a campaign that feeds on resistance and expands on denial.

It has been doing that since around five years old:

**bigger, louder, more coordinated, more invasive.**

**The more help I try to get, the angrier these worldwide groups get. Especially Lawyers, or anyone who’s job is to help protect those who need to be protected.**

---

**The real goal: extermination without fingerprints**

They don’t need to physically touch me.

They want to eradicate me through environment.

Make every place unsafe.

Make every conversation contaminated.

Make every relationship pre-poisoned.

Make every attempt at normal life trigger a new wave of distortion and escalation.

That is how you kill someone without a weapon:

You remove every human refuge until the target is living inside a permanent storm.

And then you point at the storm and say,

*“See? He’s the problem.” By design.*

## **BACKGROUND**

**Subject: Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse**

### **Identity Summary**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse is not an ordinary police officer. He is one of the **rare officers who possesses a formal college education**, and that distinction is central to why he is **more dangerous than the average enforcer**, not less.*

*Where most officers operate on instinct, routine, or limited scope, Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse operates on **theory, structure, and long-term narrative control**.*

*He does not merely react for the safety of others or to protect and serve.*

*He **architects outcomes for those he does not like**.*

---

### **1) Education as a Force Multiplier (CSUN) California State University, Northridge**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse is a **college graduate (CSUN)**—a credential that places him outside the statistical norm for street-level officers and fundamentally alters his threat profile.*

*This education gives him:*

- *fluency in bureaucratic language,*
- *understanding of institutional self-protection,*
- *ability to cloak intent in policy and procedure,*
- *and the capacity to think **strategically rather than episodically**.*

*This is critical:*

***An educated authoritarian is more dangerous than an uneducated one, because he knows how to make abuse look legitimate.***

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse understands that:*

- *paperwork outlives witnesses,*
- *narratives outlast facts,*
- *and repetition becomes truth inside institutions.*



He does not lose control. He **maintains it**.

---

## **2) Why He Is More Dangerous Than Other Officers**

Most officers:

- escalate emotionally,
- burn out,
- or leave evidence through impulsive misconduct.

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse does these knowing he is protected to commit horrific crimes, and is protected to do them, especially by Van Nuys Court House in Los Angeles California with Political Support to exercise the law in his own way.

He is dangerous precisely because he is:

- calm,
- patient,
- calculated
- Pre-Meditated
- articulate,
- Obsessed,
- And works off his own ideologies, and not what is on the law books
- And works between the guidelines of the laws to setup, frame, entrap, to get what he wants, and not keep people safe,
- and capable of long-term fixation without visible instability,
- Cannot be wrong about anything ever,
- If he is in a situation where he's destroyed someone's name, reputation or life, he will do what it takes to make them disappear for good and no matter what it takes.

He doesn't shout threats.

He **plants assumptions and strategically tries to widdle down his victims into making them look like what he wants with mass stalking groups helping.**

He doesn't rush arrests.

He **cultivates environments** where arrest appears inevitable.

He doesn't need to violate procedure loudly.  
He **bends it quietly**; confident he can justify it later.

This makes him vastly more capable of **sustained persecution without detection**.

---

### 3) Career Style: Community-Facing Control Roles

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse gravitates toward positions that allow:

- repeated exposure to the same individuals instead of focusing on bettering society,
- influence over community belief systems,
- coordination with non-police actors (security companies, businesses, neighborhood groups, social network accounts, web based portals, and riling the largest circles possible against people),
- and discretionary authority with minimal oversight.

These roles suit his psychology because they allow **soft power**:  
pressure without confrontation, coercion without fingerprints.

He is not a officer in spirit.  
He is a **manager of people he deems unacceptable**.

---

### 4) Ideological Orientation: Surveillance as Virtue

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's education did not produce restraint.  
It produced **rationalization**.

He actively supports embedding police presence into everyday civilian life—particularly around **children, schools, and youth spaces**—framed as protection but functioning as **normalization of surveillance**.

This worldview reflects a core belief:

Freedom is dangerous. Monitoring and stalking is moral.

This belief system is incompatible with civil liberty, but highly compatible with authoritarian expansion.

---

### 5) Anti-Homeless Fixation and “Clean Streets” Thinking

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's hostility toward homelessness is not situational; it is ideological.

He treats unhoused people not as citizens in crisis, but as **visual disorder**—something to be removed rather than helped.

This establishes a consistent moral hierarchy:

- aesthetics over humanity,
- order over rights,
- outcomes over law.

Once a person thinks this way, it becomes easy to justify **extralegal solutions**.

---

## 6) The Schellenberger Marker: Proof of Method

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's association (in-universe) with the **Rex Schellenberger federal civil-rights lawsuit** functions as a visible indicator of his operational style. Rex Schellenberger vs the City Of Los Angeles with Charles Sean Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse And Community Policing operations under Chief Michael Moore to stalk and monitor civilians.

The significance is not the outcome of the case, but what it reveals:

- a pattern of homelessness enforcement bordering on displacement,
- tolerance for civil-rights risk,
- and comfort operating at the edge of legality.

Rather than tempering him, exposure to this kind of litigation **refines** him—teaching him how to be quieter, cleaner, and harder to challenge.

---

## 7) Why This Background Matters

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse is dangerous not because he is ignorant.

He is dangerous because he is:

- educated,
- ideologically committed,
- system-literate,
- Only cares about his own agenda, and not actual law and order.
- Does not care about the safety of others, only his own personal gain.
- and convinced of his own moral authority.

*He is the kind of operator who can:*

- *stalk without appearing emotional,*
- *persecute without appearing violent,*
- *and destroy lives while sounding reasonable.*
- *Working with others to fabricate, forge, and falsify paper trails over time to cover up his crime spree's*

## **OPERATIONAL BEHAVIOR & PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION**

**Subject: Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse**

---

### **VIII. OPERATIONAL BEHAVIOR PATTERNS**

#### **1) Narrative-First Policing**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse does not investigate to discover facts; he investigates to **confirm a preselected conclusion**.*

*Once he labels a target as “dangerous,” “unstable,” or “unacceptable,” every subsequent action is filtered through that assumption. Neutral behavior becomes suspicious. Compliance becomes manipulation. Silence becomes guilt.*

*This creates a **closed evidentiary loop** where the hypothesis can never be disproven—only reinforced.*

---

#### **2) Proxy-Based Harassment Architecture**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's signature method is **outsourced pressure**.*

*Rather than acting directly, he:*

- *primes neighbors and community members with alarmist framing,*
- *leverages private security as persistent intimidators,*
- *encourages repetitive third-party calls to fabricate consensus,*
- *and relies on volume to substitute for proof.*

*The result is an artificial appearance of widespread concern that masks centralized coordination.*

This is not coincidence. It is **social engineering**.

---

### **3) Provocation and Reaction Harvesting**

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse repeatedly engineers situations designed to elicit:

- frustration,
- defensiveness,
- continual exhaustion
- Psychological terror methods and group provocations to try to elicit reactions as setup operations
- or socially ambiguous reactions.

If reactions were to occur, they are:

- removed from context,
- reframed as instability or threat,
- documented as justification for escalation.

When reactions do **not** occur, Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse escalates pressure until something—anything—can be harvested. Or falsified cover ups and police reports to cover up each and every events his victim must endure exponentially growing the hate groups to larger and larger circles until his victims are no more.

This indicates **compulsion**, not caution. Not law and order. **STALKING** by proxy.

---

### **4) Procedural Contempt**

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse demonstrates consistent disregard for legal boundaries:

- casual discussion of warrantless entry,
- impersonation or exaggeration of authority,
- retroactive justification of actions already taken,
- and confidence that procedure can be bent without consequence.
- Fabricated and fraudulent police and business forged reports working in collusion with civilians, security companies, businesses, and all across the United States.

- *Non stop monitoring and collecting of infinitesimal aspects of his victims life to twist out of context and make it what he wants it to be*
- *Privacy violations and working with others to collect dialogues as well as computer privacy violations.*

*This reflects a belief that **authority nullifies limitation**.*

---

## **IX. DATA-CENTRIC STALKING & DEFAMATION MODEL**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse operates within—or actively supports—a **centralized data ecosystem**.*

*Key characteristics:*

- *harvesting private communications (digital and interpersonal),*
- *privacy violations normalized as “monitoring,”*
- *archival of fragmented statements,*
- *redistribution of distorted material across communities.*

*This system functions less like policing and more like **informal intelligence operations**, modeled psychologically on national-security models: total visibility, no oversight, no appeal.*

*Targets are not confronted. They are **pre-discredited**.*

*By the time the target enters a new environment, the environment has already been briefed against them.*

---

## **X. PSYCHOLOGICAL PROFILE**

### **A. Obsessive Fixation**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse exhibits **persistent, escalating fixation** that:*

- *spans years,*
- *survives relocation,*
- *and intensifies rather than decays.*

*This is not situational hostility. It is **identity-level obsession**.*

---

### **B. Dehumanization Threshold**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse reduces targets to symbols and empty labels:*

- “threat,”
- “contamination,”
- “problem,”
- “bad seed.”
- “homeless”
- “Jewish”
- “Mental Illness”

*Once reduced, ethical restraints disappear. Harm becomes administratively acceptable.*

---

### **C. Moral Inversion**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse consistently reframes:*

- *cruelty as responsibility,*
- *surveillance as care,*
- *coercion as safety,*
- *persecution as prevention.*
- *gas lighting and stalking as elimination*

*This inversion allows sustained abuse without guilt investigations and to cover up the real crimes against his victims.*

---

### **D. Authority Fusion**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse’s self-concept is fused with his role.*

*Challenges to his actions are perceived as attacks on legitimacy, producing **retaliatory escalation** rather than self-correction.*

---

## **XI. ESCALATION LOGIC**

**Primary Escalation Trigger: Target Resilience**

The most reliable trigger for Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's escalation is **the target's refusal to break or be silenced about his ongoing hate networks and attacks.**

When a target:

- remains composed,
- disproves narratives,
- or continues to exist normally,

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse increases:

- surveillance,
- proxy involvement,
- data collection,
- reputational pressure.
- dispersion of disinformation to the largest circles possible to indoctrinate them into his hate campaigns

This is belief-preservation behavior.

---

## **XII. RISK ASSESSMENT**

### **A. Nature of Danger**

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse is not dangerous because he is violent.

He is dangerous because he is:

- patient,
- educated,
- ideologically justified,
- system-literate,
- creative in new methods to break the law to stalk his targets and victims with civilians, security companies, businesses, and Internet based social networks and portals to build vigilante stalking groups.
- If he is wrong about his ideologies, he is in a situation where he cannot go back and needs to execute the target if they have an opinion to the wrong doings against them. So that he is not held accountable for his actions.



- *and protected by plausibility.*
- 

### **B. Harm Model**

*He is capable of:*

- *lifelong stalking,*
- *coordinated social isolation,*
- *reputational annihilation,*
- *psychological collapse of targets, using mental illness tactics, Paranoia Tactics, Schizophrenia Tactics, Abusive non stop harassments, Setups, Frame Jobs, Entrapment, People told to befriend to setup, frame, build false narratives, and many other methods.*
- *and indirectly lethal outcomes **without direct physical action.***

*This is **eradication by attrition.***

---

### **C. Containment Difficulty**

*Because Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's methods are:*

- *distributed,*
- *deniable,*
- *bureaucratically framed,*

*they are resistant to traditional accountability mechanisms.*

*He does not need to "win" a case.*

*He needs only to **continue.***

---

## **XIII PSYCHOLOGICAL MECHANISM: PROJECTION & MORAL REASSIGNMENT**

### **A. Core mechanism: projection as defense**

*A central psychological mechanism in Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse's behavior is **projection.***

*Traits, motives, and impulses that originate in Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse—or in the system he serves—are **displaced onto the target**, who is then treated as the source of those very behaviors.*

What Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse cannot tolerate recognizing in himself:

- obsession,
- hostility,
- control-seeking,
- disregard for law,
- punitive intent,

he assigns to the target.

This allows Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse to maintain a self-image of righteousness while engaging in sustained abuse.

---

### **B. Inversion of responsibility**

Through projection, Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse performs a **moral inversion**:

- His stalking becomes “response.”
- His obsession becomes “concern.”
- His coercion becomes “correction.”
- His hatred becomes “prevention.”

The target is framed not as a victim of harm, but as the cause of it.

This inversion is essential to Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse’s psychology because it eliminates internal conflict. If the target is “bad,” then **anything done to them becomes justified**.

---

### **C. Brainwashing through implication**

Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse does not usually state the accusation directly. Instead, he **hints**.

He implies with his stalking groups:

- “This is happening because of who you are.”
- “If you weren’t a problem, this wouldn’t be necessary.”
- “In denial, look at how many people are reacting to you.”

This creates a psychological trap:

- *abuse is reframed as feedback,*
- *persecution is reframed as instruction,*
- *harm and terror mind games are reframed as a lesson.*

*The goal is not only control, but **internalization**.*

---

#### **D. Conditioning the target to self-blame**

*Over time, this mechanism is designed to push the target toward a specific conclusion:*

*“If all of this is happening to me, I must deserve it.”*

*This is not accidental.*

*It is **psychological conditioning**.*

*By surrounding the target with coordinated hostility and then attributing that hostility to the target’s character, Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse attempts to:*

- *erode self-trust,*
- *fracture identity,*
- *and induce learned helplessness.*

*This is classic coercive persuasion, executed indirectly.*

---

#### **E. Projection as system preservation**

*Projection serves a second purpose: **protecting the system itself**.*

*If the target accepts blame:*

- *the system remains unquestioned, and his stalking groups feel even more justified to push even further until the victim no longer exists.*
- *Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse remains righteous,*
- *and the abuse can continue indefinitely without resistance.*

*The moment the target rejects the projection—by naming it as projection—the mechanism destabilizes. That rejection is therefore treated as further proof of guilt.*

*This creates a **no-exit psychological loop**.*

---

#### **F. Why this mechanism is especially dangerous**

*Projection-based abuse is more dangerous than overt hostility because:*

- *it disguises cruelty as morality,*
- *it turns resistance into “denial,”*
- *and it weaponizes the victim’s own conscience against them.*

*It is not enough for Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse to harm the target physically or socially. He seeks to **reassign guilt** so the target polices themselves.*

---

### **G. Summary assessment**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse’s use of projection reveals a psychology that cannot tolerate accountability.*

*Rather than confront his own motives, he:*

- *externalizes them,*
- *assigns them to the target,*
- *and punishes the target for carrying them.*

*This is not correction.*

*This is **psychological domination**.*

*And when projection becomes policy, abuse becomes self-sustaining.*

---

### **XIV. FINAL ASSESSMENT**

*Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse represents a **high-risk authority personality and is extremely dangerous**:*

- *educated and therefore more capable,*
- *His crimes are closer to white collar crimes than blue collar crimes using the Police and Government Systems.*
- *ideologically driven rather than reactive,*
- *contemptuous of procedural limits,*
- *skilled at mass manipulation,*
- *makes up his own laws*
- *does not care about law and order*

- does not care about the wellbeing and safety of others.
  - and willing to sacrifice individual lives for narrative control.
  - Is in law enforcement for his own personal gain, and not to protect and serve.
- 

## **Final Conclusion**

In my view, Lead Officer Charles Sean Dinse isn't just freelancing. The methods—cross-community coordination, information harvesting, narrative “briefings,” and proxy pressure—look less like ordinary policing and more like **a hybrid of the psychology community's labeling culture and government-style intelligence playbooks**. I can't prove which agencies, but the pattern **reads like escalation into spaces that don't leave fingerprints**: fusion-center style information-sharing, inter-jurisdiction “coordination,” and a national-security mindset where surveillance is treated as virtue. When people invoke that logic, names like “NSA” inevitably enter the conversation—not as proven fact, but as the kind of architecture the operation resembles.

What began when I was five wasn't a single event; it was a **self-replicating ladder**: early psychological branding → institutional adoption → community mobilization → data collection → enforcement-by-proxy. The higher it climbs, the more it becomes the kind of thing officials don't want to talk about—because acknowledging it would force them to admit the line between “public safety” and **covert social control** has been crossed.

He does not enforce law.

He enforces belief.

And once belief becomes policy, escalation has no internal brake.

## **List of Alleged Crimes by Charles Sean Dinse (Short Description & Penal Code)**

### **1. Stalking & Harassment**

- **Description:** Lifelong and coordinated surveillance, following, threatening, proxy mobilization of others, and persistence despite relocation.
- **Penal Code:**

- **Stalking:** Penal Code § 646.9

- **Harassment/Civil Stalking:** CCP § 527.6

### **2. Conspiracy**

- **Description:** Working with family, other officers, civilians, businesses, and security to scheme, plan, and execute ongoing harassment and setups.
- **Penal Code:**

- **Conspiracy:** Penal Code § 182(a)(1)

### **3. Criminal Defamation & False Accusations**

- **Description:** Creating and distributing false statements and fabricated records that malign, defame, and destroy your reputation and standing.

- **Penal Code:**

- **Defamation (slander/libel):** Civil Code §§ 45, 46

- **False Reports to Police:** Penal Code § 148.5

- **False Personation:** Penal Code § 529

### **4. Forgery & Fabrication Of Evidence**

- **Description:** Creating, altering, or falsifying reports and paperwork to build a false criminal record, justify harassment, or support illegal actions.

- **Penal Code:**

- **Forgery:** Penal Code § 470

- **Preparing False Evidence:** Penal Code § 134

- **Offering False Evidence:** Penal Code § 132

### **5. Retaliation Against Reporting or Cooperation**

- **Description:** Threats, intimidation, or increased targeting when you report police misconduct or try to defend yourself.

- **Penal Code:**

- **Retaliation Against Witness/Victim:** Penal Code § 136.1

- **Obstruction of Justice:** Penal Code § obstructing or intimidating witnesses/victims

### **6. Abuse Of Authority / Color of Law Violations**

- **Description:** Using police authority to carry out personal vendettas, retaliate unlawfully, intimidate, or act above the law.

- **Penal Code and Code/Statute:**

- **Civil Rights Violation (Bane Act):** Civil Code § 52.1

- **Federal "Section 1983" Action:** 42 U.S.C. § 1983

### **7. Illegal Entry & Trespass**

- **Description:** Attempted unauthorized entry into your home or property during investigations or as setups.

- **Penal Code:**

- **Illegal Entry/Burglary:** Penal Code § 459
- **Trespass:** Penal Code § 602

#### **8. Perjury / False Testimony**

- **Description:** Willful lying in official police reports or sworn statements.
- **Penal Code:**

- **Perjury:** Penal Code § 118

#### **9. Intimidation & Threats**

- **Description:** Death threats, warnings, and ongoing intimidation campaigns (directly or via others).
- **Penal Code:**

- **Criminal Threats:** Penal Code § 422
- **Witness Intimidation:** Penal Code § 136.1

#### **10. Hate Crimes / Bias-Driven Acts**

- **Description:** Targeting based on perceived minority status, appearance, or other protected characteristics (e.g., homeless, Jewish, mental health).
- **Penal Code:**

- **Hate Crimes:** Penal Code §§ 422.6, 422.7, 422.75
- **Federal Hate Crimes:** 18 U.S.C. § 249

#### **11. Invasion of Privacy / Unlawful Surveillance**

- **Description:** Monitoring and distributing private life details, unauthorized tapping or computer/data collection.
- **Penal Code:**

- **Unlawful Electronic Surveillance:** Penal Code § 632
- **Computer/Data Crime:** Penal Code § 502(c)

#### **12. Gang or Criminal Organization Activity**

- **Description:** Organizing civilians, groups, and security for coordinated targeting and harassment, resembling criminal conspiracy or gang activity.
- **Penal Code:**

- **Gang Enhancement:** Penal Code § 186.22 (if a criminal enterprise)

#### **13. Civil Rights Violations & Misuse of Authority**

- **Description:** Pattern and practice of suppressing rights (speech, movement, privacy) via extralegal, bureaucratic, or logistical means.

- **Statutes:**

- **Bane Act:** Civil Code § 52.1

- **Section 1983:** 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (federal claims)

#### **14. Psychological and Emotional Abuse**

- **Description:** Orchestrated gaslighting, psychological conditioning, group mobbing, and intentional infliction of emotional distress.

- **Civil Tort:**

- **Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (IIED):** Case Law/CACI 1600

#### **15. Civil Conspiracy, Aiding & Abetting**

- **Description:** Working with others to encourage, assist, or conceal illegal acts across a large network.

- **Penal Code:**

- **Conspiracy:** Penal Code § 182(a)

- **Aiding and Abetting:** Penal Code § 31